

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/776,722		02/11/2004	Richard Kranz	417636	2836		
30954	7590	09/28/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
LATHROP			PASCUA	PASCUA, JES F			
2345 GRAN SUITE 2800		UE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
KANSAS CI	TY, MC	64108		3727	3727		
				DATE MAIL ED: 09/28/200	DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ϵ			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/776,722	KRANZ, RICHARD				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jes F. Pascua	3727				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
Period fo	• •			_			
WHIO - Exte afte - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma- ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. In reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	February 2004.					
•		his action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)[🛛	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	n.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ a		b by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	of received.				
Attachmei	• •	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Info	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Informal Patent Application				
Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/776,722 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 338,746 to Hofmann.
- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 1,220,515 to Kantro. See Figs. 3, 4 and 6.
- 4. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,981,587 to Gerrie.

Claim'Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3727

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,921,463 to Boyle et al. and German Patent No. 42 03 648 to Peters.

Boyle et al. discloses the claimed device except for envelope having a liner secured to the rear panel. Peters discloses that it is known in the art to secure a liner to the rear panel of an analogous envelope. See Figs. 7 and 8. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the envelope of Boyle et al. with the liner of Peters, in order to form a pocket between the liner and the rear panel.

Regarding claims 2 and 6, Boyle et al. and Peters discloses the claimed invention except for liner defining at least two pockets. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to secure the liner of Peters to the rear panel of Boyle et al. such that it defines at least two pockets, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,889,836 to Colloton.

Colloton discloses the claimed invention except it is uncertain if the liner forming the additional pockets 63 is secured to the inner surface or the outer surface of the panel 58. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Application/Control Number: 10/776,722 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

the invention was made to secure the liner forming the additional pockets 63 to the inner surface of the panel 58 in Colloton, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jes F. Pascua whose telephone number is 571-272-4546. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J. Newhouse can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jes F. Pascua Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

JFP